Difficulty Rating for Muni Trails

International Unicycling Federation

March 25, 2015

Copyright © 2013 by the International Unicycling Federation, Inc. All rights reserved.

Contents

1	Motivation	2
2	The M Scale 2.1 Difficulty Grades	2 2
	2.2 Grade Refinement	3
3	Scales to Rate Whole Trails	3
	3.1 UDH Scale (Unicycle Downhill Scale)	3
	3.2 UXC Scale (Unicycle Cross Country Scale)	4
4	Applying the Scale	4
	4.1 Gathering Track Data	4
	4.2 Rating Section Difficulties	4
	4.3 Calculating UDH or UXC Scores	5
	4.3.1 UDH Example	5
	4.3.2 UXC Example	6
	4.4 Publishing Scores	6
5	Feedback and Improvements	6

1 Motivation

There are various trail rating systems specific to mountain biking or hiking¹. These rating systems are only partially applicable for mountain unicycling (muni) and cannot be used as an objective base for defining the difficulty of a muni downhill or cross country track. The goal of this rating system is to provide a more objective and accurate way of rating difficulties of trail sections as well as complete cross country and downhill courses. The benefit of a standardized way of rating tracks is that participants of muni events no longer need to rely on the subjective statements of the event host but can use the rating scores to assess the difficulty for themselves. In the future the rating system might also be used to set minimum or maximum difficulty requirements for world championships or national competitions.

2 The M Scale

The M scale describes the technical difficulty of a single trail section. A section is a part of a trail with a length of 5 to 20 meters. The rating is valid for good conditions (daylight, dry). It is not influenced by how dangerous the section is.

There are six grades of difficulty (M0 to M5) as described in the following subsection. The M scale can be used independently to assign the technical difficulty.

2.1 Difficulty Grades

The following list shows typical characteristics of the different grades. Not all characteristics have to be satisfied to warrant a respective grade, but at least two should be fulfilled.

- **M0** pavement or solid soil/compact gravel, no obstacles, slope < 20 %, 90° turn within > 2 m and with slope < 10 %
- **M1** partly loose soil/gravel, small obstacles (small stones, flat roots ~ 5 cm), single 15 cm steps, slope < 40 %, 90° turn within > 1 m and with slope < 20 %
- M2 loose soil/gravel, obstacles (stones, roots ~ 10 cm), single 30 cm steps, slope < 60 %, 90° turn within > 0.5 m and with slope < 30 %
- **M3** loose soil with loose stones (size of few cm), obstacles (stones, roots ~ 20 cm), several irregular steps ~ 20 cm each, drops < 1 m, gaps < 0.5 m, slope < 80 %, 135° turn within ~ 0.5 m and with slope < 40 %

¹e.g. http://www.imba.com/resources/maps/trail-difficulty-ratings

- M4 very loose/slippery soil with loose stones (size of several cm), big obstacles (stones, logs ~ 30 cm), several irregular steps ~ 30 cm each, drops < 1.5 m, gaps < 1 m, slope < 100 %, 135° turn within ~ 0.5 m and with slope < 60 %
- **M5** very loose/slippery soil with loose stones (size of several cm), very big obstacles (stones, logs ~ 40 cm), several irregular steps ~ 40 cm each, drops > 1.5 m, gaps > 1 m, slope > 100 %, 135° turn within ~ 0.5 m and with slope < 80 %

2.2 Grade Refinement

To refine the scale, grades like M4.5 (a section which has a difficulty between M4 and M5) are possible. A visual indication for all possible grades is given at https://drive.google.com/?authuser=0#folders/0B6zv9bFd15YXdXF0cFR4Z05IU28

3 Scales to Rate Whole Trails

These scales are based on the features of whole tracks and are important to define requirements for downhill or cross country courses at competitions. The UDH and UXC scales use additional criteria on top of the technical difficulty to describe the overall difficulty of a course, when ridden in one go without stopping. These criteria are weighted differently for the UXC and UDH scale to account for the different nature of cross country and downhill.

The weighting is achieved through the maximum points assigned to each criteria. The sum of all maximum points equals the maximum score of the scales. For each criteria, the calculation is based on linear interpolation on three intervals. The interval borders are given in the descriptions below and correspond to 0%, 20%, 80% and 100% of the maximum points. For values below the lowest interval border the minimum number of points is given, for values above the highest interval border the maximum number of points is given. The final rating of the track is the sum of all criteria points, rounded to the nearest integer.

3.1 UDH Scale (Unicycle Downhill Scale)

The scale ranges from 0 to 40 points and describes the overall difficulty of a muni downhill track. Each criteria is described below along with the interval borders.

- Total Length (0-10 points) The total length of the trail in km: 0/2/8/10 points given to 0/1/4/8 km
- Average Slope (0-10 points) The total height difference divided by total length of the trail: 0/2/8/10 points given to 0/10/25/35 %
- Maximum Difficulty (0-10 points) The rating of the most difficult section of the trail using the M scale: 0/2/8/10 points given to M 0/2/3.5/5

Average Difficulty (0-10 points) The average difficulty rating of the trail using the M scale, 0/2/8/10 points given to M 0/1/2.5/3.5

3.2 UXC Scale (Unicycle Cross Country Scale)

The scale ranges from 0 to 40 points and describes the overall difficulty of a cross country track. Each category is described below along with the interval borders.

- Total Length (0-15 points) The total length of the trail: 0/3/12/15 points given to 0/10/25/40 km
- Total Ascent (0-7.5 points) The total ascent of the trail: 0/1.5/6/7.5 points given to 0/200/800/1500 m
- Maximum Slope Uphill (0-5 points) The slope of the steepest uphill section, 0/1/4/5 points given to 0/10/25/35 %
- Maximum Difficulty (0-5 points) The rating of the most difficult section of the trail using the M scale: 0/1/4/5 points given to M 0/1/2.5/4
- Average Difficulty (0-7.5 points) The average difficulty rating of the trail using the M scale: 0/1.5/6/7.5 points given to M 0/0.5/1/2

Excel sheet to calculate the UDH rating: https://docs.google.com/open?id=0B6zv9bFd15YXQ1VUV3hQ

4 Applying the Scale

4.1 Gathering Track Data

For measuring the length, height difference and total ascent of a trail, the geometry of the trail has to be determined using global navigation satellite systems like GPS and/or GLONASS². The slope of single sections is ideally measured using an inclinometer.

The data gathering can be done by riding/walking the track, while recording it with e.g. GPS. The more difficult sections can be repeated afterwards to take some notes about their M grades.

4.2 Rating Section Difficulties

The technical difficulty rating of a single section or of the whole trail has to follow the definitions of the M scale and should not be decided by a single person to avoid subjectivity.

 $^{^{2}\}mathrm{See} \ \mathtt{http://glonass-iac.ru/en/}$

4.3 Calculating UDH or UXC Scores

The scores are calculated using linear interpolation, so that the following formula can be applied for any value which is within the range of the minimal criteria measure and the maximum criteria measure.

 $s(x)=\frac{p_1-p_0}{i_1-i_0}(x-i_0)+p_0$ p_0 represents the lower boundary of the available points. For the first interval this is always 0.

 p_1 represents the upper boundary of the available points.

 i_0 represents the lower boundary of the criteria measure.

 i_1 represents the upper boundary of the criteria measure.

x represents the criteria input for which the score should be determined.

4.3.1 UDH Example

Using the UDH Scale, one could determine the score for a trail with a length of 6 km by putting the values into the formula shown above. 6 km is in between 4 and 8 km, so that 4 represents the lower criteria measure boundary (i_0) and 8 represents the upper criteria measure boundary (i_1) . The lower boundary for the points is hence 8 and the upper boundary is 10.

This results in: $s(6) = \frac{10-8}{8-4}(6-4) + 8 = 9$

Let us further assume that the track has an average slope of 16% (corresponding to about 9 degrees). Here, the interpolation is done on the interval from $i_0 = 10\%$ to $i_1 = 25\%$ yielding points between $p_0 = 2$ and $p_1 = 8$. The equation thus reads: $s(16) = \frac{8-2}{25-10}(16-10) + 2 = 4.4$

After long and fierce debates the local muni riders decided that the most difficult section of the track warrants a rating of M3.5. In this case the points for maximum difficulty are obtained easily: M3.5 corresponds exactly to the interval border 8 and no further interpolation is necessary.

The track also includes lots of easy sections resulting in an average difficulty of M1.5. The points for this criteria are calculated by interpolating between M1 and M2.5 (boundaries for 2 and 8 points, respectively): $s(1.5) = \frac{8-2}{2.5-1}(1.5-1) + 2 = 4$

The UDH rating of the track consists of the sum of the points for the individual criteria, rounded to the nearest integer:

 $\text{UDH} = 9 + 4.4 + 8 + 4 = 25.4 \approx 25$

The result is a UDH rating of 25 out of 40 points. For convenience these calculations can be automatically done using the online calculator on https://muni-scale.info.

4.3.2 UXC Example

For the UXC scale the same algorithms (i.e. linear interpolation) as for the UDH scale are used. However, different criteria and point distributions contribute to the final rating. The example will present the calculations for a long and easy course.

Total Length: 42 km 42 km is longer than 40 km so the full 15 points are given.

Total Ascent: 590 m Interpolation on the interval 200–800 m / 1.5–6 points: $s(590) = \frac{6-1.5}{800-200}(590-200) + 1.5 = 4.4$

Maximum Slope Uphill: 8% Interpolation on the interval 0–10 % / 0–1 points: $s(8) = \frac{1-0}{10-0}(8-0) + 0 = 0.8$

Maximum Difficulty: M1.5 Interpolation on the interval M1–2.5 / 1–4 points: $s(1.5) = \frac{4-1}{2.5-1}(1.5-1) + 1 = 2$

Average Difficulty: M0.5 M0.5 corresponds exactly to 1.5 points.

Final UXC rating: UXC = $15 + 4.4 + 0.8 + 2 + 1.5 = 23.7 \approx 24$

This example can also be tested on https://muni-scale.info.

4.4 Publishing Scores

Hosts of muni events are encouraged to publish the scores for the event tracks in advance so that participants know what kind of track expects them. The score should be published together with a reference to this document or with a short description of the rating system.

5 Feedback and Improvements

The rating system described in this publication is an experimental version which requires intensive testing. Ideas for improvements or other feedback is welcome at any time and can be sent to the IUF.